DIJEMSS: Dinasti International Journal of Education Management and Social Science
E-ISSN: 2686-6331
P-ISSN: 2686-6358
https://dinastipub.org/DIJEMSS dinasti.info@gmail.com +62 811 7404 455
DINASTI PUBLISHER

DOI: https://doi.org/10.38035/dijemss.v7i5.6403
https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/
Received: 24 February 2026 | **Revised:** 09 March 2026 | **Accepted:** 26 May 2026

The Strategic Role of Digital Trading Communities in Shaping Retail Investor Behavior in Modern Capital Markets

Dewi Permata Sari[1], Maya Sova[2], Mulyadi[3], Arum Indrasari[4], Mohammad Hisam[5]
[1]Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis, Universitas Ibnu Sina, Batam, Indonesia, dewi.permata.sari@uis.ac.id
[2]Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis, Universitas Respati Indonesia, Jakarta, mayasova@urindo.ac.id
[3]Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis, Universitas Ibnu Sina, Batam, Indonesia, mulyadi@uis.ac.id
[4]Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta, Indonesia, arum.indrasari@umy.ac.id
[5]Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis, Universitas Ibnu Sina, Batam, Indonesia, 231061201137@uis.ac.id

Corresponding Author: dewi.permata.sari@uis.ac.id[1]

Abstract: The rapid growth of digital technology has increased retail investor participation through Digital Trading Communities (DTCs), which function as platforms for financial information exchange and social interaction. However, empirical evidence on how these communities influence retail investor behavior and financial decision-making remains limited. This study examines the strategic role of DTCs in shaping investment decisions, risk perception, and personal financial management. A mixed methods approach was employed by combining thematic analysis of discussions in digital trading forums and semi-structured interviews with retail investors, alongside a quantitative survey of 125 active retail investors who are members of digital trading communities. The results show that DTCs facilitate collective learning and help investors interpret market information, thereby reducing uncertainty in investment decisions. However, strong social interaction within these communities also reinforces behavioral biases, particularly herding behavior and overconfidence, which may negatively affect decision quality. This study contributes to the behavioral finance literature by demonstrating how digital community participation simultaneously functions as a learning mechanism and a behavioral risk amplifier. Practically, the findings provide insights for regulators and capital market institutions in promoting digital financial literacy while mitigating behavioral risks in online investment communities.

Keywords: Digital Trading Communities, Retail Investors, Financial Management, Behavioral Finance.

INTRODUCTION

The development of digital technology has brought significant structural changes to the global capital market ecosystem. Digitalization not only improves transaction efficiency and access to information but also reshapes how retail investors interact, learn, and make financial decisions (Harasim, 2022). The emergence of stock trading applications, financial social media platforms, and online forums has significantly expanded retail investor participation, positioning them as increasingly dominant actors in modern capital markets (Khan & Shabbir,

2025; Vinoth Balaji & Sriram, 2024). However, this transformation also increases their vulnerability to social influence and information dynamics within digital environments.

One of the most prominent manifestations of this transformation is the emergence of Digital Trading Communities (DTCs), defined as online-based communities where retail investors share information, opinions, experiences, and investment strategies (Challa, 2025; Woods, 2021). Unlike formal capital market information channels, DTCs are participatory and interactive, enabling collective knowledge production among investors (Bakri et al., 2024; Rahman et al., 2025; Wilson et al., 2024). Research suggests that such digital communities significantly shape investors' perceptions of opportunities and risks, particularly through subjective narratives and social signals that are difficult to quantify (Goldstein, 2023).

Traditional financial theory assumes that investors act rationally and process information objectively (Hala et al., 2020; Sattar et al., 2020). However, behavioral finance challenges this assumption by emphasizing the role of psychological and social factors in decision-making (Elgayar, 2021; Gokhale & Mittal, 2024; Selvakumar et al., 2025; Yoon & Oh, 2022). Retail investors are particularly susceptible to cognitive biases such as herding behavior, overconfidence, and confirmation bias (Hanif et al., 2024; Nourallah et al., 2023). In digital communities where interaction is frequent and socially reinforced, these biases may intensify, influencing collective sentiment and shaping investment decisions (Garay & Pulga, 2021).

Trust also plays a crucial role in financial decision-making, particularly under conditions of uncertainty and information asymmetry (Bertoni et al., 2022; He et al., 2025; Siriwardana & Mendis, 2019). Within DTCs, trust is constructed through reputation, social validation, and participation frequency rather than formal authority. This community-based trust mechanism positions DTCs as spaces of collective learning (Rodpangtiam et al., 2024), where retail investors enhance their understanding of financial instruments and market dynamics. However, the effectiveness of such learning depends on the quality and credibility of the circulating information.

Despite their potential to increase literacy and participation, DTCs exhibit ambivalence. While they may facilitate knowledge sharing and confidence-building, they can also amplify misinformation, reinforce dominant opinions, and intensify social pressure to conform (Bhanu, 2023; Raja & Abdelaziz, 2025). Prior studies have explored the relationship between online activity and market volatility, herding behavior, and information asymmetry (Pa et al., 2022; A. D. Rahayu et al., 2021; S. Rahayu et al., 2021; Raut, 2020). Nevertheless, most of these studies rely predominantly on quantitative approaches and focus on observable market outcomes rather than the underlying social processes and trust-building mechanisms within digital communities (Gaspar et al., 2020).

Moreover, empirical research integrating qualitative and quantitative perspectives to examine the strategic role of DTCs in retail investor financial management remains limited, particularly in emerging markets characterized by diverse financial literacy levels and strong reliance on informal information sources (Almufareh et al., 2023; Sahu et al., 2025). This limitation indicates that existing studies have not fully captured how social interaction, trust formation, and behavioral biases interact simultaneously within digital investment communities to influence investor decision-making.

Unlike prior studies that focus mainly on market outcomes or rely on single-method approaches, this study examines the underlying social and trust-building mechanisms within digital trading communities using an integrated qualitative–quantitative approach. Specifically, this research analyzes how participation in Digital Trading Communities influences retail investors' investment decision-making, risk perception, and personal financial management. By combining thematic analysis of digital community interactions with survey data from active retail investors, this study provides a more comprehensive understanding of the dual role of DTCs as both collective learning platforms and potential amplifiers of behavioral biases. The findings are expected to contribute to the behavioral finance literature by incorporating social

and digital interaction dimensions into financial decision-making analysis, while also offering practical insights for regulators and capital market stakeholders in designing policies that promote responsible and informed participation in digital investment environments.

METHOD

Design and Research Approach

This study uses a mixed methods approach to gain a comprehensive understanding of the strategic role of Digital Trading Communities (DTCs) in shaping retail investor behavior in the modern capital market (McBride et al., 2019). This approach was chosen because the phenomenon studied involves not only relationships between variables that can be measured quantitatively but also social processes, interaction dynamics, and meaning construction formed within digital communities. The integration of qualitative and quantitative methods allows this study to capture the complexity of retail investor behavior more comprehensively compared to the use of a single method.

The research design follows an exploratory-analytical sequential approach, where qualitative findings are used to explore behavioral mechanisms and patterns in Digital Trading Communities, while quantitative analysis is conducted to empirically test relationships between the identified variables (Gökalp et al., 2020). In this design, qualitative insights inform the development of measurable constructs used in the quantitative phase, enabling stronger analytical integration between social processes and behavioral outcomes.

Data Collection Sources and Techniques

1. Qualitative Data

Qualitative data were obtained through two main sources. First, an analysis of retail investor discussions, posts, and comments within various Digital Trading Communities based on online forums and financial social media. Community selection was conducted purposively by considering activity level, number of members, and relevance to stock trading activities and capital market instruments. The collected data reflect natural interactions among retail investors within their daily investment decision-making context (Mezmir, 2020; Mirhosseini, 2020).

Second, semi-structured interviews were conducted with active retail investors who are members of digital trading communities. Informants were selected purposively to ensure diversity in investment experience and community participation intensity. The interviews explored investors' experiences in utilizing DTCs, perceptions regarding the credibility of community-generated information, and the influence of social interactions on financial decision-making.

2. Quantitative Data

Quantitative data were collected through a survey of 125 active retail investors who participate in Digital Trading Communities. Respondents were recruited through the distribution of online questionnaires on investment community platforms. The sampling technique used was purposive sampling, with the main criteria being that respondents have experience investing in the capital market and actively participate in digital trading communities. This approach ensures that the collected data represent the characteristics of retail investors who actively engage in online investment discussions (Zheng, 2021).

Research Instruments

The qualitative instrument consisted of a semi-structured interview guide designed to explore investors' perceptions regarding the role of DTCs, trust-building mechanisms within digital communities, and the influence of social interaction on investment behavior. The interview guide was designed flexibly to allow deeper exploration of participants' experiences and interpretations.

The quantitative instrument was a structured questionnaire developed based on behavioral finance literature and insights obtained from preliminary qualitative exploration. The questionnaire included several constructs representing participation intensity in DTCs, investor confidence, risk perception, and behavioral bias tendencies such as herding behavior and overconfidence. All measurement items were assessed using a five-point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree to facilitate statistical analysis.

Data Analysis Techniques

Qualitative data were analyzed using a thematic analysis approach. The analysis began with open coding to identify initial themes emerging from community discussion data and interview transcripts. This stage was followed by axial coding to group the themes into broader conceptual categories, such as collective learning mechanisms, information credibility formation, and reinforcement of behavioral biases. The validity of qualitative findings was strengthened through data source triangulation and collaborative discussion among researchers.

Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and multiple linear regression analysis to test the relationships between Digital Trading Community participation and investor behavioral outcomes. Regression analysis was conducted to evaluate whether participation intensity in DTCs significantly influences retail investor behavior. The empirical models used in this study are formulated as follows:

Model 1

$$\text{Investment Confidence}_i = \alpha + \beta_1(\text{DTC Participation}_i) + \varepsilon_i$$

Model 2

$$\text{Herding Behavior}_i = \alpha + \beta_1(\text{DTC Participation}_i) + \varepsilon_i$$

Model 3

$$\text{Risk Perception}_i = \alpha + \beta_1(\text{DTC Participation}_i) + \varepsilon_i$$

Where:

1. DTC Participation represents the intensity of investor involvement in digital trading communities.
2. Investment Confidence reflects investors' perceived confidence in their investment decisions.
3. Herding Behavior represents the tendency of investors to follow the actions or opinions of other community members.
4. Risk Perception reflects investors' subjective assessment of investment risks.
5. ε represents the error term.

This regression approach allows the study to empirically test whether participation in digital trading communities significantly influences retail investor behavioral patterns. Statistical analysis was conducted using standard social science statistical software to ensure analytical reliability.

Data Validity, Reliability, and Validity

The validity of qualitative data was maintained through triangulation of data sources and methods, as well as member checking of interview interpretations to ensure alignment between the researcher's analysis and participants' perspectives. The qualitative analysis process was also systematically documented to enhance transparency and research credibility.

For quantitative data, reliability testing was conducted using internal consistency coefficients to evaluate the stability of measurement items. Construct validity was assessed by

examining the consistency between measurement indicators and their underlying theoretical constructs. These procedures ensure that the research instruments measure the studied phenomena accurately and consistently.

This study also adheres to ethical research principles. All interview participants and survey respondents were informed about the purpose of the research and were assured that their identities would remain confidential. Data obtained from digital communities were analyzed in aggregate form without revealing individual identities, ensuring compliance with ethical standards in the use of online data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Respondent Characteristics and Participant Overview

The survey involved 125 active retail investors who participate in Digital Trading Communities (DTCs). Most respondents had investment experience between 1–5 years (57.6%), followed by investors with more than five years of experience (28.0%). Regarding participation intensity, 43.2% of respondents accessed DTCs daily, while 39.2% accessed them several times per week. The primary objectives of participation were obtaining market information (36.8%), stock recommendations (32.8%), and discussion of alternative market perspectives (30.4%). Detailed respondent characteristics are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Respondent Characteristics and Participation Patterns in Digital Trading Communities

Respondent Characteristics	Categories	Number (People)	Percentage (%)
Investment Experience	< 1 year	18	14,4
	1–5 years	72	57,6
	> 5 years	35	28,0
DTCs Access Frequency	Every day	54	43,2
	Several times a week	49	39,2
	Several times a month	22	17,6
Main Objectives of Participation	General market information	46	36,8
	Stock recommendations	41	32,8
	Discussion & alternative views	38	30,4
Use of DTCs Information	Initial decision reference	71	56,8
	Formal analysis complement	39	31,2
	Entertainment / observation	15	12,0

Note: Percentages are rounded and calculated based on the number of respondents.

Digital Trading Communities as a Means of Collective Learning

The thematic analysis of community discussions and interviews indicates that Digital Trading Communities (DTCs) function as important collective learning spaces for retail investors. Learning occurs informally through the exchange of experiences, interpretation of market information, and social validation of investment decisions. Through these interactions, investors develop a contextual understanding of market dynamics, particularly during periods of volatility and economic uncertainty.

Community discussions generally focus on interpreting economic and corporate news, evaluating stock performance, and discussing short-term risk management strategies. Information shared in these communities is often presented in simplified language that investors perceive as more practical than technical reports from formal financial institutions. As one informant stated:

"If you read financial statements or securities research, the language is too technical. In the community, the explanation is simpler and goes straight to the impact on stocks." (K02, interview, March 6, 2025)

Community interaction also helps investors reduce uncertainty during volatile market conditions. Some respondents indicated that observing different perspectives and experiences from other members makes them feel more confident when interpreting market situations. These qualitative findings are supported by survey data from 125 retail investors participating in Digital Trading Communities, which show a generally high level of agreement that DTC participation helps improve understanding of market dynamics, facilitates the interpretation of financial information, and increases confidence in investment decision-making. A summary of respondents' perceptions is presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Retail Investors' Perceptions of Digital Trading Communities as a Collective Learning Platform

Statement	Strongly Disagree (%)	Disagree (%)	Neutral (%)	Agree (%)	Strongly Agree (%)
DTCs helped me understand the dynamics of the capital market	4.0	8.8	14.4	48.8	24.0
Community discussions facilitate the interpretation of market news	3.2	9.6	16.0	46.4	24.8
The experience of other members has improved my understanding of investment risk	4.8	10.4	18.4	42.4	24.0
Participation in DTCs increases confidence in investing	6.4	12.0	20.8	39.2	21.6
Information from the community is easy to understand and applicable	5.6	11.2	17.6	43.2	22.4

Some informants also described learning from the experiences and mistakes shared by other community members. As one participant stated:

"I often learn from the mistakes of others in the community. Some people share losses because of FOMO, so I was more careful before joining." (K14, interview, March 28, 2025)

However, the findings also indicate that the effectiveness of collective learning in DTCs depends on the credibility of the information circulating within the community and investors' ability to evaluate it critically. Several participants noted that speculative discussions and short-term sentiment may influence investors to follow prevailing opinions without fully understanding the associated risks.

These risks tend to be greater for investors with lower levels of financial literacy, who may rely heavily on community information without adequate verification. Overall, the findings suggest that while Digital Trading Communities provide accessible learning opportunities for retail investors, they may also expose participants to informational and behavioral risks.

Building Trust in Digital Community Information

The results show that trust in information in DTCs is not built through formal mechanisms, but rather through social reputation and consistency of community members' contributions. Retail investors tend to trust members who are considered experienced, often provide "proven correct" analysis, or gain legitimacy from other members through positive responses. An informant explained this mechanism as follows,

"I trust accounts that are often updated and analyzed in connection with market movements. Even though he is not an official analyst, his track record is visible." (K11, interview, March 18, 2025)

Survey data support these findings, with most respondents stating that the credibility of sources in the community is more influential than the origin of formal institutions. This phenomenon indicates a shift in information authority towards community-based trust, which can accelerate the dissemination of information while increasing the risk of misinformation in investment decision-making.

Strengthening Behavioral Bias in Digital Community Interactions

Although DTCs play a positive role in increasing the literacy and confidence of retail investors, the results of the study also reveal the ambivalent side of the digital community. Qualitative analysis shows that intense and repetitive interactions within the community have the potential to reinforce behavioral biases, especially herding behavior and overconfidence.

In some community discussions, investors tend to follow popular recommendations without conducting adequate independent analysis. This is reinforced by survey findings showing that some respondents admitted to having made investment decisions because "many community members are doing the same". An informant revealed the experience,

"If there are many people discussing one stock, it feels like I'm afraid of missing out. Sometimes we go in first, analyze later." (K03, interview, April 5, 2025)

In addition, active participation in the community is also correlated with increased investor confidence, which in some cases develops into overconfidence. Investors who frequently gain validation from the community tend to overestimate their ability to predict the market, potentially ignoring the risks inherent in investment decisions.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study indicate that Digital Trading Communities (DTCs) play a complex and ambivalent role in shaping retail investor financial management in the modern capital market. Previous studies have emphasized the increasing importance of digital platforms in facilitating investor participation and information dissemination (Alt, 2022; Bavoso, 2024). Consistent with these studies, the results confirm that DTCs function as important information-sharing environments that allow investors to exchange insights, interpret market developments, and learn from the experiences of other community members. However, this study further demonstrates that the role of DTCs extends beyond information dissemination, functioning as social environments that actively shape investor perceptions of risk, opportunity, and decision-making processes.

In line with prior research, digital communities can reduce information asymmetry by providing access to diverse perspectives and real-time interpretations of financial information (Naveed et al., 2021). Such interactions enable retail investors to contextualize complex financial data into more practical insights that are easier to understand and apply in investment decisions. This finding supports behavioral finance arguments that financial decisions are

influenced not only by objective information but also by social learning and collective interpretation processes (Nurhayati, 2023). In this sense, DTCs can function as informal mechanisms that complement formal financial literacy structures by enabling peer-based knowledge exchange.

Nevertheless, the findings also reveal that the same social mechanisms that facilitate learning may simultaneously intensify behavioral biases. While some previous studies associate digital financial platforms with improved access to information and investor empowerment, the present findings suggest that the benefits of digital participation are conditional rather than universally positive. In highly interactive digital environments, frequent exposure to community opinions may reinforce behavioral biases such as herding behavior, overconfidence, and confirmation bias. This occurs because social validation within communities can substitute for independent analysis, encouraging investors to follow collective sentiment rather than relying on fundamental evaluation.

This finding differs from some earlier perspectives that assume that greater information access automatically improves decision quality. Instead, the results indicate that the effectiveness of information depends on investors' ability to critically evaluate social signals and filter speculative discussions. Investors with stronger financial literacy and moderate levels of community engagement tend to use DTCs as complementary information sources that support independent analysis (Raut & Kumar, 2024). In contrast, investors with limited financial literacy or excessive participation intensity may be more vulnerable to informational distortion and collective bias. These findings highlight the conditional nature of digital financial participation and suggest that the impact of DTCs varies depending on investor characteristics and engagement patterns (Nurhayati et al., 2025).

Theoretical Implications

These findings extend behavioral finance theory by demonstrating that social learning in digital environments does not uniformly improve decision quality, but instead operates conditionally based on investor literacy and engagement intensity. While traditional behavioral finance literature emphasizes individual cognitive biases, this study highlights the importance of digital social interaction as an additional mechanism shaping investor behavior.

The results suggest that behavioral biases in modern financial markets are increasingly mediated by community dynamics and digital participation, rather than solely by individual psychological factors. Consequently, this study contributes to the development of behavioral finance literature by integrating social learning theory and digital community dynamics into the analysis of financial decision-making. This perspective expands existing theoretical frameworks by positioning Digital Trading Communities as hybrid environments where information exchange, social influence, and behavioral bias simultaneously interact in shaping investor behavior.

Managerial and Regulatory Implications

From a practical perspective, the findings highlight the importance of developing a healthier digital investment ecosystem. Regulators and financial institutions need to strengthen digital financial literacy programs that focus not only on understanding investment instruments but also on improving investors' ability to evaluate information credibility and recognize behavioral biases in online communities.

In addition, digital trading communities themselves may benefit from improved governance mechanisms, including clearer information transparency, responsible content moderation, and community-based financial education initiatives. Such measures could help maximize the collective learning benefits of DTCs while mitigating the behavioral risks associated with excessive social influence and speculative information circulation.

Overall, this study positions Digital Trading Communities as influential socio-digital environments that shape retail investor financial behavior. Rather than being inherently beneficial or harmful, the role of DTCs depends on the interaction between investor characteristics, community dynamics, and regulatory structures. Future research may further explore moderation mechanisms, such as financial literacy, digital engagement intensity, and community governance structures, to better understand how digital investment environments can support more responsible and sustainable financial decision-making.

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that Digital Trading Communities (DTCs) play a significant role in shaping retail investors' financial management and investment behavior. The findings show that DTCs function as collective learning spaces that help investors interpret market information and increase confidence in investment decisions. However, intensive interaction within these communities may also reinforce behavioral biases such as herding behavior and overconfidence, indicating that the impact of DTC participation depends on investors' ability to critically evaluate community information.

From a theoretical perspective, this study contributes to behavioral finance by highlighting the role of digital social interaction in shaping investor decision-making. The findings suggest that financial behavior is influenced not only by individual cognitive biases but also by social learning processes within digital investment communities.

Future research can explore moderating factors such as financial literacy, investor experience, and participation intensity to better understand how digital communities influence investment behavior. Comparative studies across different platforms or market contexts may also provide deeper insights into the governance and effectiveness of Digital Trading Communities.

REFERENCES

Almufareh, M. F., Tariq, N., Humayun, M., & Almas, B. (2023). A federated learning approach to breast cancer prediction in a collaborative learning framework. *Healthcare, 11*(24), 3185. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11243185

Alt, R. (2022). Electronic Markets on platform culture. *Electronic Markets, 32*(3), 1019–1031. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-022-00604-5

Bakri, M., Andriani, D., & Bahri, S. (2024). Assessing information processing in capital market structures. *Bata Ilyas Educational Management Review, 4*(2), 1–13.

Bavoso, V. (2024). *Debt capital markets: Law, regulation, and policy*. Oxford University Press.

Bertoni, F., Bonini, S., Capizzi, V., Colombo, M. G., & Manigart, S. (2022). Digitization in the market for entrepreneurial finance: Innovative business models and new financing channels. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 46*(5), 1120–1135. https://doi.org/10.1177/10422587211038480

Bhanu, B. K. (2023). Behavioral finance and stock market anomalies: Exploring psychological factors influencing investment decisions. *Commer. Econ. Manag, 23*.

Challa, S. R. (2025). Advancements in digital brokerage and algorithmic trading: The evolution of investment platforms in a data-driven financial ecosystem. *Advances in Consumer Research, 2*(1).

Elgayar, A. H. (2021). *The impact of investor sentiment and herding behaviour on stock market liquidity*.

Garay, U., & Pulga, F. (2021). The performance of retail investors, trading intensity and time in the market: Evidence from an emerging stock market. *Heliyon, 7*(12), e08583. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e08583

Gaspar, R. M., Henriques, P. L., & Corrente, A. R. (2020). Trust in financial markets: The role of the human element. *Revista Brasileira de Gestão de Negócios, 22*(3), 647–668.

https://doi.org/10.7819/rbgn.v22i3.4072
Gökalp, M. O., Kayabay, K., Gökalp, E., Koçyiğit, A., & Eren, P. E. (2020). Towards a model based process assessment for data analytics: An exploratory case study. In *European Conference on Software Process Improvement* (pp. 617–628).
Gokhale, G. M., & Mittal, A. (2024). Exploring the nexus of capital market and investor behaviour: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 14*(2), 65.
Goldstein, I. (2023). Information in financial markets and its real effects. *Review of Finance, 27*(1), 1–32. https://doi.org/10.1093/rof/rfac052
Hala, Y., Abdullah, M. W., Andayani, W., Ilyas, G. B., & Akob, M. (2020). The financial behavior of investment decision making between real and financial assets sectors. *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business, 7*(12), 635–645. https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2020.vol7.no12.635
Hanif, R., Astuti, W., & Sunardi, S. (2024). The mediating role of customer satisfaction in the effect of perceived enjoyment on customer trust in online investment application. *Innovation Business Management and Accounting Journal, 3*(1), 18–29.
Harasim, J. (2022). FinTechs, BigTechs and structural changes in capital markets. In *The digitalization of financial markets*. Taylor & Francis.
He, H., Jones, L., Lu, Y., & Gepp, A. (2025). Technology-enabled innovations in financial markets and retail investors: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Accounting Literature*.
Khan, M. A., & Shabbir, H. (2025). The digital literacy and retail investing: Exploring market dynamics, efficiency, and stability in the digital era. *Journal of Digital Literacy and Learning*.
McBride, K. A., MacMillan, F., George, E. S., & Steiner, G. Z. (2019). The use of mixed methods in research. In *Handbook of research methods in health social sciences* (pp. 695–713). Springer.
Mezmir, E. A. (2020). Qualitative data analysis: An overview of data reduction, data display, and interpretation. *Research on Humanities and Social Sciences, 10*(21), 15–27.
Mirhosseini, S.-A. (2020). Diverse sources of qualitative data. In *Doing qualitative research in language education* (pp. 111–129). Springer.
Naveed, M., Farah, M. F., & Hasni, M. J. S. (2021). The transformative role of firm information transparency in triggering retail investor's perceived financial well-being. *International Journal of Bank Marketing, 39*(7), 1091–1113. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJBM-02-2021-0068
Nourallah, M., Öhman, P., & Amin, M. (2023). No trust, no use: How young retail investors build initial trust in financial robo-advisors. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting, 21*(1), 60–82. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRA-12-2021-0451
Nurhayati, N. (2023). Digital innovation in halal logistics: An Indonesian case study. *Agripreneur: Jurnal Pertanian Agribisnis, 12*(2), 23–37.
Nurhayati, N., Chelbi, A., Mabrouk, A. B., & Young, F. C. (2025). Unleashing halal potential: Exploring the dynamics of halal logistics in Tangerang City, Indonesia. *Agrociencia*, 1–13.
Pa, A. N. P., Wiksuana, I. G. B., Suartana, I. W., & Artini, L. G. S. (2022). The influence of social and personal factors in individual investment decision making. *Calitatea, 23*(191), 80–88.
Rahayu, A. D., Putra, A., Oktaverina, C., & Ningtyas, R. A. (2021). Herding behavior in the stock market: A literature review. *International Journal of Social Sciences Review, 1*(2), 8–25.
Rahayu, S., Rohman, A., & Harto, P. (2021). Herding behavior model in investment decision on emerging markets: Experimental in Indonesia. *The Journal of Asian Finance,*

Economics and Business, 8(1), 53–59. https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2021.vol8.no1.053

Rahman, K. O., Hasan, M., Khanam, A., Nasrullah, F., Mia, M. M., Jishan, S. S., & Rezvi, R. I. (2025). Investment & market growth analysis: Insights into US retail stock performance, emerging players, M&A trends. *Journal of Economics, Finance and Accounting Studies, 7*(3), 112–117.

Raja, E. L. A., & Abdelaziz, M. (2025). Rationality, intuition, and behavioral biases in investment decisions: Bridging classical finance and behavioral finance with evidence from Morocco. *International Journal of Applied Management and Economics, 2*(17), 167–187.

Raut, R. K. (2020). Past behaviour, financial literacy and investment decision-making process of individual investors. *International Journal of Emerging Markets, 15*(6), 1243–1263. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOEM-07-2018-0379

Raut, R. K., & Kumar, S. (2024). An integrated approach of TAM and TPB with financial literacy and perceived risk for influence on online trading intention. *Digital Policy, Regulation and Governance, 26*(2), 135–152. https://doi.org/10.1108/DPRG-07-2023-0101

Rodpangtiam, A., Boonchutima, S., & Mazahir, I. (2024). Perception of social media users regarding cryptocurrency investment adoption: A case of social media platform–Reddit. *Cogent Business & Management, 11*(1), 2402513. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2024.2402513

Sahu, M., Uddin, F., & Hossain, M. B. (2025). Exploring the psychological drivers of cryptocurrency investment biases: Evidence from Indian retail investors. *International Journal of Financial Studies, 13*(4), 219.

Sattar, M. A., Toseef, M., & Sattar, M. F. (2020). Behavioral finance biases in investment decision making. *International Journal of Accounting, Finance and Risk Management, 5*(2), 69–75. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijafrm.20200502.11

Selvakumar, P., Mishra, R. K., Budhiraja, A., Dahake, P. S., Chandel, P. S., & Vats, C. (2025). Social media influence on market sentiment. In *Unveiling investor biases that shape market dynamics* (pp. 225–250). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

Siriwardana, A., & Mendis, M. (2019). Social media marketing: A conceptual review on applications. *Journal of Business and Management, 21*(5), 54–60.

Vinoth Balaji, V., & Sriram, M. (2024). Case study on retail investors: Technological innovation and stock market dynamics. *Journal of Information Technology Teaching Cases*.

Wilson, G., Johnson, O., & Brown, W. (2024). Exploring the impact of digital transformation on marketing strategies in the retail sector.

Woods, C. (2021). *Interrogating digital rhetorical privacy on direct-to-consumer genetics websites* (Doctoral dissertation, Illinois State University).

Yoon, J., & Oh, G. (2022). Investor herding behavior in social media sentiment. *Frontiers in Physics, 10*, 1023071. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphy.2022.1023071

Zheng, X. (2021). Data collection in quantitative research. In *Research methods for student radiographers* (pp. 79–92). CRC Press.